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ABSTRACT

In this position paper, we envisage a possible crowdsourcing model for enforcing creative story writing in a fully crowd-assisted way. In particular, we discuss open issues regarding the capability to actively involve crowd workers in both the composition and the review/editing steps that constitute a comprehensive story-writing process. Considerations based on a preliminary experimentation in a real crowdsourcing system are also presented.
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INTRODUCTION

The crowdsourcing paradigm recently gained a lot of attention in a lot of situations where the introduction of human-supported computing (a.k.a. social computing) has been recognized as a valuable solution to provide an effective contribution for improving the quality of conventional tool-supported computing (e.g., resource labeling [2], item classification [6], entity linking [3]). In this context, the benefits of crowdsourcing are mostly based on the execution of the so-called decide-question tasks, where crowd workers are asked to choose the preferred option among a set of given candidates. Examples of human skills that are usually relevant for such a kind of crowdsourcing task are perceptual speed, deductive reasoning, and flexibility of closure [4]. On the opposite, it is uncommon that creative human skills like fluency of ideas, problem sensitivity, and originality represent crucial features of a worker profile to be involved in a crowdsourcing campaign. Moreover, also when a campaign requires to address create-question tasks where creativity-oriented abilities are useful, it is very hard to assess whether the self-declared worker skills are really owned by the worker and whether they are successfully employed in task resolution. Collaborative writing represents an actual application field where creative human skills can be concretely employed not only for copyediting nor proofreading [5, 9, 10]. However, the integration between the needs of collaborative writers (e.g., enforce story coherence during writing) and the process constraints of existing crowdsourcing systems (e.g., independent task assignment without storyline awareness) is not straightforward [11]. In the recent literature, some ideas are being proposed to enforce creative activities with the support of crowdsourcing contributions (see also online systems like http://foldingstory.com/, http://www.crowdstories.com/, and http://www.crowdstory.com/). On the one side, the focus is on how to enforce intuitive and easy-to-execute crowdsourcing microtasks [12]. On the other side, the discussion is on how to effectively involve the crowd in both writing story contributions and evaluating the generated stories [7, 8]. However, the crucial point is on how to go beyond the use of prefixed worker roles, so that the human abilities of involved crowders can naturally emerge in all the executed tasks.

In this position paper, we envisage a possible crowdsourcing model for enforcing creative story writing in a fully crowd-assisted way. The model is characterized by the active involvement of crowd workers in both the composition and the review/editing steps that constitute a comprehensive story-writing process. In particular, we discuss some open issues and we present our considerations based on a preliminary experimentation in a real crowdsourcing platform.

MODELING CROWD-ASSISTED CREATIVE WRITING

Consider a text defined as a tree $T = (T, h)$, where $T$ is a set of text snippets (or story snippets) and $h(T_i) \rightarrow T_j$ is a link function which maps a snippet $T_i$ on its parent snippet $T_j$ (which is empty when $T_i$ is the initial text snippet). A text may be read as an hypertext in which a snippet $T_j$ has multiple
CHALLENGES IN CROWD-ASSISTED CREATIVE WRITING

For implementation of the proposed story-writing model in a real crowdsourcing platform, the issues to be considered can be distinguished in task-related, worker-related, and budget-related issues.

Task-related issues. The proposed model is characterized by the use of three different kinds of tasks, namely writing (create-question), review (decide-question), and editing (decide-question) tasks. A specific issue to consider for task management in story writing is due to the need of interleaving different task typologies within a single stage. Thus, advanced scheduling algorithms are required to dynamically address constraint-based task assignment and to avoid possible bias such as for example the involvement of a worker in both writing and review/editing tasks related to a certain story snippet.
Worker-related issues. In the proposed model, three different worker roles can be distinguished, namely writer, reviewer, and editor. On this point, a possible innovative aspect to manage is concerned with the capability to recognize the worker skills as long as tasks are executed. The idea is that a worker $W$ is encouraged to execute further writing tasks when the story snippets created by $W$ are appreciated by the other workers. Similarly, review and editing tasks can be assigned to those workers that show the ability to choose popular and appropriate story snippets. A possible idea is to integrate learning and association-rule mechanisms in crowdsourcing platforms to enable the dynamic recognition of emergent worker skills.

Budget-related issues. The specification of cost strategies represents an open issue to exploit for enabling the configuration of a creative writing campaign so that the number of stories to generate are put in relation with the number of tasks to execute (and the budget/resources to invest).

EXPERIMENTAL EXPERIENCE AND CONSIDERATIONS

For a preliminary evaluation, we performed a real crowdsourcing experience of story writing with our Argo crowdsourcing platform [1]. In Argo, the solutions envisaged in this paper are not implemented, however the experiment allowed us to better understand the possible impacts of our ideas. In particular, we run a one-month campaign involving 332 students from the courses of Arts and Humanities of the University of Milan. The experiment produced 94 stories written in Italian, of which a sample of 32 has been evaluated by a literature expert. The focus of our experiment was to assess the overall thematic and narrative consistency of the crowd-generated stories. In Table 1, we show an example of a two-snippet excerpt, the former evaluated as consistent, and the latter as inconsistent since a snippet mentions the disappearance of a character (Mary) who is present in the previous snippet. We asked the expert to evaluate the consistency of the stories with a quantitative score $k$ in the range from 1 to 5, where 1 denotes a totally inconsistent plot and 5 denotes a totally consistent plot. In particular, the value 3 has been used to denote the stories that are sufficiently consistent to be published for reading. The result is that about 30% of the stories are inconsistent (i.e., $k < 3$), but the 70% of them are readable (i.e., $k \geq 3$) and 20% of them are considered good (i.e., $k \geq 4$). Furthermore, we exploited the Pearson correlation coefficient for evaluating the degree of correlation between the consistency of the stories, their length, and the trustworthiness of workers, that is a measure provided by Argo to assess the worker reliability in writing, review, and editing. In the results, we observe a negative correlation ($-0.872$) between consistency and length and a positive correlation ($0.523$) between consistency and worker trustworthiness. Such a positive correlation is a very interesting result since the quality of the crowdsourcing work is conventionally measured at the level of a single task, while the consistency of stories represent a global quality evaluation of crowd work involving multiple tasks. We argue that this result depends on the capability to assign tasks to workers according to their profiles. For this reason, emergent worker profiling is our ongoing research activity on this topic.

Table 1: Examples of consistent and inconsistent story snippets

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Story Snippet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(1)</td>
<td>But now Buck did not know what to do: call for help or read the paper? Mary was getting up: “I call the neighbors”. Now, one thought was in the head of Buck...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.a)</td>
<td><strong>(consistent)</strong> As Mary left the room, Buck picked up the paper. Unfortunately, it was all stained with blood and could no longer read what was written. He approached the paper to the lamp and stood petrified in reading the only sentence visible at the bottom of the page.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2.b)</td>
<td><strong>(inconsistent)</strong> A code. A combination of numbers and letters. Someone trying to tell him something... his task was to understand what, maybe it concerned the disappearance of Mary. He concentrated and began to try to decipher the mysterious code.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For the sake of readability, the story has been translated from Italian to English.
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